

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,328	01/26/2001	Takahiro Yajima	35.C15069	5126
5514	7590 06/16/2004		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			CROWELL, ANNA M	
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	' '
Advisory Action	09/769,328	YAJIMA ET AL.	
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michelle Crowell	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 12 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the contract of the con	ation. A proper reply to a	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth atter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mailing	g date of the final rejection.  IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate exteunt of the fee. The appropriate exteuring all yet in the final Office action	nsion ension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		•	
(a)  they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTF below)	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	-	3 113 12 33/311),	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or		ially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		, <b>,</b>	•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would lead canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		parate, timely filed amendme	ent
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid	dered but does NOT place th	е
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly	
7  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an vor appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 9</u> .		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7 and 8.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement			
0.  Other:	(=)( -	<del></del> ·	
ane		GREGORY MILLS SORY PATENT EXAMINER OLOGY CENTER 1700	·
	,	OF CHILL IN TO	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 7 and 8 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claims 7 and 8 are directed to a process and the original elected invention was apparatus claims. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 7 and 8 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03..

اسما